



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,776	03/25/2004	Barry Scott Hurewitz	040002	7021
26285	7590	07/20/2007	EXAMINER	
KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART PRESTON GATES ELLIS LLP 535 SMITHFIELD STREET PITTSBURGH, PA 15222			ULRICH, NICHOLAS S	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2173				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/20/2007		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ED

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/809,776	HUREWITZ ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Nicholas S. Ulrich	2173

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nicholas S. Ulrich. (3) Mark Knedeisen.

(2) John Cabeca. (4) Barry Hurewitz.

Date of Interview: 12 July 2007.

Type: a)  Telephonic b)  Video Conference  
c)  Personal [copy given to: 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,7 and 8.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hazel (US 7188317 B1) and Baker et al. (US 6338067 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g)  was not reached. h)  N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant wished to better explain their invention over the prior art of record.  
Possible amendments to the claims were discussed in regards to allowability over the prior art of record. No agreements were reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



JOHN CABECA  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required